## Construction Related Consultancy Services Framework 2022-2026

APPENDIX 1 – Option Analysis

Option	Advantages	Disadvantages
1 – In-house provision, including substantial staff recruitment	<ul> <li>Direct control over resources and priorities.</li> <li>Familiarity and greater understanding of CEC processes, values and ways of working.</li> <li>Same teams working together, sharing lessons learned into future projects.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inflexible resource levels with costs incurred even when workload reduces.</li> <li>Recruitment difficulties with specialist staff.</li> <li>Doesn't fit with Strategic Commissioning Council model.</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> – Tender each commission (do nothing option)	<ul> <li>Greater market choice.</li> <li>Ultimate competition achieved with every project open to the entire market.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Time and resources required to procure each consultant on a project would be cost and programme prohibitive.</li> <li>Potential non-compliance with CEC Contract rules and UK procurement regulations regarding sub-division of similar work and aggregated spend.</li> <li>Provides no ongoing relationship, so cannot develop a partnership approach with continuous improvement in line with Government Best Practice.</li> <li>Limited transparency where consultants are repeatedly used.</li> </ul>
3 – CEC Framework (Business as Usual)	<ul> <li>Tailored to suit CEC's particular requirements, values, policies, climate neutral agenda and Government best practice etc.</li> <li>Ability to benchmark performance, develop ongoing relationships, build specific loyalty to CEC within a clear mechanism for continuous improvement.</li> <li>Prompt methodology for appointment of consultants through the direct award mechanism.</li> <li>Ability to reopen competition to maintain competitive tension.</li> <li>Obligation on Framework Management Group to meet the framework strategic aims.</li> <li>Of interest to regional companies as well as SME's.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Costs and resources associated with bespoke procurement of CEC framework (estimated £85k or 2.5% of Framework fee value).</li> <li>Need to have sufficient throughput to maintain the interests of consultants.</li> </ul>

Option	Advantages	Disadvantages
<b>4</b> – CEC corporate consultant contract (Bloom)	<ul> <li>Greater market choice.</li> <li>Competition achieved with every project.</li> <li>Allows the ability to directly appoint consultants, reducing the commissioning period.</li> <li>Managed procurement process.</li> <li>"Approved list" can be established within the contract.</li> <li>Of interest to regional companies as well as SME's.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less opportunity than option 3 to build continuous improvement.</li> <li>Limited pre-qualification.</li> <li>Charge to use Contract included within Consultants overhead charge (Bloom charge 5% of fee value).</li> <li>Collateral Warranties to be executed for each consultant commission.</li> </ul>
<b>5</b> – access other frameworks/ contracts e.g. CCS / SCAPE	<ul> <li>Maintains competitive tension amongst framework consultants.</li> <li>Allows ability to directly appoint in certain circumstances.</li> <li>Potential for reduced costs by avoiding costly procurement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less chance than option 3 to build continuous improvement.</li> <li>Consultants more likely to be large national companies.</li> <li>Charge to use Frameworks either direct or indirect (e.g. SCAPE charge 3% of fee value).</li> </ul>
6 – single service provider	<ul> <li>A single point of contact</li> <li>No delays in appointing at the earliest opportunity for each project</li> <li>Ultimate opportunity to build partnership working with ongoing relationships and shared objectives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Would need to attract the interest of large multi-disciplinary consultants/consortia able to provide the full range of services.</li> <li>Limits opportunities for SME's.</li> <li>Need to have sufficient throughput to maintain the interest of consultants, particularly if they are a national concern without a local client base.</li> <li>Difficult to address complacency by the single provider when competitive tension is not present during the life of the contract.</li> </ul>
<b>7</b> – strategic partner JV for the full range of asset management services	Could provide a catalyst for wider outsourcing of Council asset management functions.	<ul> <li>Long lead-in time including the requirement for a fundamental Service Review, staff consultation and subsequent UK Procurement process.</li> <li>Similar transformational projects have required significant consultant support incurring substantial costs.</li> <li>Usually undertaken as part of a large outsourcing initiative. CEC capital delivery capability is largely already outsourced – hence the requirement for this procurement.</li> </ul>